UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/010,403	12/07/2001	Gregory S. Bower	65783-0009	4474	
10291 7590 11/29/2007 RADER, FISHMAN & GRAUER PLLC			EXAMINER		
39533 WOODWARD AVENUE SUITE 140 BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48304-0610			NGUYEN BA, HOANG VU A		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
BEOOMI IEEI	J 111665, WII 40304-0010		2623		
				p	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
	•		11/29/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/010,403	BOWER ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Hoang-Vu A. Nguyen-Ba	2623

	Hoang-vu A. Nguyen-ba	2023				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 14 November 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.				
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, affice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in one with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply more	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire a Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (	iter than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejecti	on.			
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70		- I INOT NEI ET WAOT	ILLD WITHIN			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as			
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be	filed within two month	ns of the date of			
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	out prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecause			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	•					
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	·	,,				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	• •	ducing or simplifying	the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.155. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	lowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the			
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ll be entered and an o	explanation of			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,4,5,7-18,20-25,27-29,31,32,34,36-</u> 4	10 42 44 45 and 47-50					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	10, 12, 11, 10 and 11-00.					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good anwas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
9.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attac	hed.			
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>	t does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
13.						
Worm aunt	Centony a guyeuBa	<b></b>				
quay ve		Hoang-Vu Antony	Nguyen-Ba			
		Primary Examiner	<b>J</b> , = -			
	ANTONY NGUYEN-BA PRIMARY EXAMINER	Art Unit: 2623				

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Claim 1:

# 1. one paired anlog signal

in response to Applicant's argument that elements 120 and 122 in FIG. 1 of Wakai are clearly connected to the system interface unit. via RS-485 interface and that RS-485 interface does not teach one paired analog audio signal input and anlog video signal input, the examiner has fully reconsidered Wakai and noted that the only location that describes elements 120 and 122 is 6:33-52; RS-485 is nowhere mentioned. Therfore, Applicants' argument is moot.

2. an analog-to-digital converter

Wakai's 6:33-50 appears to indicate that the sustem interface unit 118 and the overhead video monitors and audio system together provide an alternate and backup broadcast system which allows the airline flexibility in the design of the interior of the airplane's cabin and a backup system in the event of the video on demand entertainment system. Wakai further indicates that the system interface unit 118 is coupled to an audio preoducer 120, which preferably includes a compact disk player. Note that the "a backup system in the event of the video on demand system" appears to suggest that should Wakai's video-on-demand system (digital as asserted by Applicants) system fail, a backup system that would receive analog inputs (one yellow-coded video and two red-and-white audio connectors) from compact disk player is provided. This teaching would make sense. Furthermore, it appears that at the time of Applicants' invention CD player that has digital video and audio output has not been sold on the market yet and CD player with analog outputs such as the yellow-coded video and red-and-white-coded audio outputs is still commonly sold on the market.

3. at least one connection to said digital data network...

in response to Applicants' assertion that Wakai teaches away from the above limitation, the examiner respectfully notes that if Applicants is aware of that Wakai teaches a backup system in case the video-on-demand system fails, then Applicants should also be aware that the objective of the backup system is to be able to broadcast a video program played by the external CD player, which is a sole source of audio+video, to the monitor at all passenger seats. In order to provide the video program to the seat monitor, it is necessary to have at least one connection to said digital data network for receiving an output of the digital data network and providing said pitput to an audiovisual output device which the seat mpnitor.

For features similar to those of claim 1, see discussion in Claim 1.

1. an optical disk drive...

a video disk player (122) is interpreted to include DVD player (http://electronics.howstuffworks.com/dvd6.htm, see " a laser and lens system" and analog output of DAC amplified by the AMP, the date of this html document is 2000-10-25 -- see bottom of source code of the html page -- to see the source code and date therein right click on the DVD player page and select "View Source").

#### Claims 15, 37 and 48

For features similar to those recited in Claim 1, see discussion related to Claim 1.

### 1, an IEEE 1394 bus connected to a digital video camera

The Office action cites portion 18:3 - 19:17 of Wakai to show that te teaching of a digital camera connected to IEEE1394 is suggested by Wakai because Wakai provides an IEEE 1394 network and devices can be added and removed from an IEEE 1394 (18:33-34) and that multicast distribution of data is used to deliver common data such as the live demonstrations by the flight attendants. If it is alive demonstration, there needs to be a camera to capture the live demonstration. And if there is an IEEE 1394 network to broadcast the live demonstration, then the camera has to be digital.

2. microcontroller for receiving user commands...

in response to Applicants' assertion that controller configured to control the camera is complete speculation, it is noted that the camera for capturing the live demonstration may not be in service continuously but only when there is a live demonstration; therefore, there needs to be commands to at least switch the camera on/off.

## 3. an interface for interfacing said IEEE 1394 port

see discussion in 1 related to Wakai's provision for a camera to be connected to the network.

#### Claim 24

See discussion in Claim 1 related to argued feature by Applicants.

# Claims 31, 42 and 45

For features that are similar to those of Claim 7, see discussion in Claim 7

# 1. remotely controlling said optical drive....

it is noted that since said network does not have antecedent basis, the broadest and reasonable interpretation is given to the term network (see discussion in final Office action, response to arguments related to same limitation).

### Claim 49 and 50

S-video is well-known in the art. Even if Applicants reject the use of Webopedia, Applicants have to admit that S-video is so commonly provide information about S-video can be found by using Google 2 known in the art that officail notice is taken. Other sources to

# Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

**Application No. 10/010,403** 

"define: S-video" feature or Howstuffworks (e.g., http://electronics.howstuffworks.com/question542.htm; this html document is dated 2001-01-03 -- see bottom of source code after selecting "View Source" after right-clicking on above-mentioned link).

See previous Office action for response to Applicants' arguments.

Claims 49 and 50

2. "a first, ... second, ... and fourth multiplex..."

in response to Applicants arguments that the detailed of multiplexed inputs connecting to specific outputs is clearly not taught or suggested in Wakai, it is noted that the motivation for combining multiplexers has been discussed in the final Office action. Since the function of a multiplexer is to combine several signal sources for transmission over a single medium and is taught byy Wakai, then it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to add more multiplexers as needed in order to accommodate more inputs when there are only one output to the multiplexer (an analogy would be using power strips to allow more devices to get power from one wall power outlet).

In view of the foregoing discussion, the rejections of the claims are considered still proper and maintained.

ANTONY NGUYEN-8A PRIMARY EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Hoangur ant